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## Section A (36 marks)

(i) Show that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=(\cos x)^{3} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is in radians, has a root in the interval $(0,1)$.
This root is denoted by $\alpha$.
(ii) Show numerically that the iteration

$$
x_{r+1}=\left(\cos x_{r}\right)^{3}
$$

with $x_{0}=0.6$ does not converge to $\alpha$.
(iii) Show that

$$
x=\sqrt{x(\cos x)^{3}}
$$

is a rearrangement of $(*)$. Use the corresponding iteration to find $\alpha$ correct to 4 decimal places.

2 An estimate is required of $I$, where

$$
I=\int_{0}^{0.6} \mathrm{f}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

The only available values of $\mathrm{f}(x)$ are as follows.

| $x$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(x)$ | 2.3063 | 2.2769 | 2.1883 | 1.8308 |

(i) Obtain the best possible estimates of $\int_{0}^{0.2} \mathrm{f}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ and $\int_{0.2}^{0.6} \mathrm{f}(x) \mathrm{d} x$. Hence give an estimate of $I$.
(ii) State what you would do differently if $\mathrm{f}(0.6)$ became available.

3 A computer program is used to calculate values of $f$ where

$$
\frac{1}{f}=\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{v}
$$

One possible formula for $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{v}\right)} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Show that another formula for $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{u v}{v-u} . \quad(* *) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The program stores and calculates all numbers rounded to 5 significant figures.
(ii) Find the values of $f$ given by the program using $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ and $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ when $u=11$ and $v=11.05$.

Show that one of these values is exact and find the relative error in the other.
(iii) State what process gives rise to the error in the inexact value.

4 The table shows values of a function $\mathrm{f}(x)$ correct to 5 decimal places.

| $x$ | 1 | 1.1 | 1.01 | 1.001 | 1.0001 | 1.00001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(x)$ | 0.94404 | 0.96771 | 0.94641 | 0.94428 | 0.94406 | 0.94404 |

(i) Find five estimates of $f^{\prime}(1)$ using the forward difference method.
(ii) Comment on the accuracy of these estimates.

Give a value for $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(1)$ to the accuracy that you consider appropriate. Justify your answer.

5 An approximate formula for $\sqrt{x}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{x} \approx a+b x \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is required for values of $x$ near to 1 .
(i) Find the values of $a$ and $b$ for which $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is exact when $x=1$ and $x=1.21$.
(ii) With these values of $a$ and $b$, find the absolute and relative errors in $(*)$ when $x=0.81$.

## Section B (36 marks)

6 The variables $p$ and $q$ are known to take the following values.

| $p$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $q$ | 2.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 |

(i) Plot these points and draw, by eye, a smooth curve through them.
(ii) Use Newton's forward difference interpolation formula to obtain a quadratic expression for $q$ in terms of $p$. Give your answer in simplified form.
(iii) Estimate $q$ when $p=2.5$. Let this estimate be denoted by $\alpha$.
(iv) Now suppose that a quadratic expression for $p$ in terms of $q$ is required. Explain why Newton's formula could not be used for this purpose. Use Lagrange's method to write down an expression for this quadratic. (You are not required to simplify this expression.)
(v) Estimate $p$ when $q$ is equal to the value $\alpha$ found in part (iii). Comment on your answer.
(i) Show that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x^{4}+x-2=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a root, $\alpha$, in the interval $(0,1)$.

Show that $\left(^{*}\right)$ does not have any other positive roots.
(ii) Sketch the curve $y=3 x^{4}+x-2$ for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$.

The secant method, with $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{1}=1$ is used to find $\alpha$.
(iii) Find $x_{2}$ exactly, and calculate $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ correct to 6 significant figures.

Show, by means of appropriate lines on your graph, how the secant method produces these values. [8]
(iv) Iterate the secant method further to find $\alpha$ correct to 3 significant figures. Show that you have obtained the required accuracy.
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6 (i) There is a spare copy of this graph paper below.


Spare copy of graph paper for question 6 (i)


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (i) | $x$ LHS  RHS <br> 0 0 $<$ 1 <br> 1 1 $>$ 0.1577 | M1A1 <br> [2] | Or equivalent | $\pm 0.8423$ |
| 1 | (ii) | First few iterates are: | M1A1 <br> [2] | At least 4 values required No explanation required |  |
| 1 | (iii) | Convincing algebra: e.g. multiply by $x$ and take square root <br> First few iterates are: $\begin{array}{lllll} 0.6 & 0.580793 & 0.582561 & 0.582431 & 0.582441 \end{array}$ <br> Root is 0.5824 to 4 dp | B1 M1A1 A1 $[4]$ | Accept reverse argument <br> Cao. SC: A1 for correct answer with no iterations shown |  |
| 2 | (i) | Use Simpson's rule for $(0,0.2)$, mid-point rule for $(0.2,0.6)$ $S=0.45341, \quad M=0.73232, \quad I=1.18573$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1M1 } \\ \text { A1A1A1 } \\ {[5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stated or used <br> Accept 4 or more dp for $I$ |  |
| 2 | (ii) | Use a second Simpson's rule | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1 } \\ & \text { [1] } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 3 | (i) | Convincing algebra: eg common denominator then reciprocal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & {[1]} \end{aligned}$ | Accept reverse argument |  |
| 3 | (ii) | To $5 \mathrm{dp}, 1 / 11=0.090909,1 / 11.05=0.090498$ <br> Hence (*) gives2433.1 <br> And (**) gives 2431, which is the correct answer The relative error in $(*)$ is 0.00086 (or $0.086 \%$ ) | M1A1 <br> A1 <br> B1E1 <br> B1 <br> [6] | It's exact because $>5 \mathrm{dp}$ not required |  |
| 3 | (iii) | Subtraction of nearly equal rounded quantities | B1 [1] | Must say rounding + nearly equal |  |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | (i) | $h$ $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(1)$ <br> 0.1 0.2367 <br> 0.01 0.237 <br> 0.001 0.24 <br> 0.0001 0.2 <br> 0.00001 0 | M1A1A1 <br> [3] | M1 for first value <br> A1 two more <br> A1 all |  |
|  | (ii) | Estimates become less precise as $h$ reduces so it is difficult to comment on accuracy. But 0.237 or 0.24 seem best - agreement before precision lost | $\begin{gathered} \text { E1 } \\ \text { B1E1 } \\ {[3]} \end{gathered}$ | Must refer to reducing $h$ for 2nd E1 Accept either |  |
| 5 | (i) | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline x=1: & 1=a+b \\ x=1.21 & 1.1=a+1.21 b \\ \text { Hence } & a=11 / 21=0.52381 \text { and } b=10 / 21=0.47619 \end{array}$ | B1 <br> B1 M1A1 <br> [4] | Either equation <br> Other equation Cao |  |
| 5 | (ii) | $\begin{array}{llrl} \hline x=0.81: & \text { exact } 0.9, \quad \text { approximate } 0.90952 \\ & \text { Absolute error } & 0.00952 \\ & \text { Relative error } & 0.01058 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1B1 } \\ \text { B1 } \\ \text { B1 } \\ {[4]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |



| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | (v) | Substitute $q=3.775$ into $p=$ from part (iii) <br> Obtain $p=2.985 \ldots$ <br> Not 2.5 (or more sophisticated comments about inverse interpolation!) | M1 <br> A1 <br> E1 <br> [3] | Allow sub'n of their $q$ <br> Cao <br> Must be from correct $p, q$ |  |
| 7 | (i) | $x=0$, LHS $=-2, \quad x=1$, LHS $=2 \quad$ (hence root) <br> Gradient is $12 x^{3}+1$ <br> which is positive for $x$ positive (hence no more positive roots) | B1 <br> M1 <br> E1 <br> [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Accept + ve, -ve } \\ & \text { Or, eg, for } x>1 \mathrm{f}(x)>0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7 | (ii) | Sketch of curve (see below) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G2 } \\ & {[2]} \end{aligned}$ | G1 points G1 smooth curve |  |
| 7 | (iii) | $x_{2}=0.5, \quad x_{3}=0.698113, \quad x_{4}=0.859557$ | (- A1A1A1A1 | M1 for secant rule <br> A for cao <br> Use of f-p gets M0A1A1M0 (sc) <br> each correct construction line accurate location of roots |  |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | (iv) | Further iterates: $0.785679,0.794930,0.795959,0.795942, \ldots$ <br> Root is 0.796 to 3 dp <br> Check: $\mathrm{f}(0.7955)=-0.00312, \quad \mathrm{f}(0.7965)=0.00394$ | M1A1 <br> A1 <br> M1 A1 <br> [5] | Must be using secant method Cao. SC M0A0A1 for other methods |  |

## 4776 Numerical Methods (Written Examination)

## General Comments:

As usual, there was a lot of good work seen from candidates who have clearly engaged with the specification and who understand the techniques of numerical mathematics. Routine numerical work with standard algorithms was generally carried out accurately - though not always efficiently.

It was notable on this paper that elementary algebra is difficult for some candidates. Q1(iii), Q3(i) and Q5(i) all revealed weaknesses in some candidates' algebraic skills.

Poor presentation continues to be an issue, with illegible hand-writing and a propensity to scatter numerical work haphazardly on the page being far too common. Examiners will always do their best to decipher candidates' work, but sometimes the challenges are insurmountable.

Comments on Individual Questions:
Question 1
The numerical solution of equations is generally done well and this question was no exception. Locating the root in part (i) and showing that the iteration fails to converge in part (ii) presented no difficulties. The algebra in part (iii) defeated some candidates, but almost everyone was able to use the new iteration to obtain the root.

## Question 2

Many found this question difficult. In part (i) the best possible estimates for the two integrals come from using Simpson's rule for the first and the mid-point rule for the second. Common errors were to use the trapezium rule or the mid-point rule for the first integral. And some candidates thought that the second integral required the estimation of $f(0.6)$.

In part (ii) the statements made were often vague: 'I would use $f(0.6)$ to get better estimate’ or 'I would use Simpson's rule'. Examiners were looking for a clearly expressed understanding that the second integral could now be found using Simpson's rule.

## Question 3

A substantial number of candidates appeared to miss the point of this question - perhaps because they didn't read it carefully enough, perhaps because they were not well-prepared for the topic. The key words in the question were 'The program stores and calculates all numbers rounded to 5 significant figures', but a very common error was to work to the full accuracy of the calculator. There is a substantial error when intermediate answers are rounded, but this error does not show when full calculator accuracy is used.

In part (iii) the comment required was that the subtraction of the nearly equal rounded values gives rise to the error. Very few candidates stated this clearly.

## Question 4

The first part of this question was done well by most candidates. However, the comments on the accuracy of the estimates were often wrong. Many candidates were still thinking in pure mathematical terms and saying that a smaller $h$ will give a better estimate of the derivative. In numerical mathematics - mathematics using limited precision arithmetic - that is just not true. A smaller $h$ will always lead eventually to less accurate estimates.

Part (ii) required some judgement as to the point at which accuracy is lost. Answers of 0.24 and 0.237 were accepted.

## Question 5

Part (i) of this question required candidates to set up and solve a pair of simple linear equations. This was very straightforward for most, but led some into great difficulty. The second part was a pretty routine exercise in absolute and relative error. The only common problem here was confusion between the exact and the approximate values.

## Question 6

Though this question explored a somewhat different take on interpolation, many candidates acquitted themselves well. The sketch in part (i) was easy, as was using the Newton formula in parts (ii) and (iii) to express $q$ as a quadratic function of $p$. In part (iv) candidates were expected to realise that, as the values of $q$ are not equally spaced, the inverse interpolation requires Lagrange's formula. The only problem was confusion, for some candidates, between arguments and function values.

In part (v) the point was that the inverse interpolation does not give the starting value. There were some simple comments to that effect (for which credit was given), and some that were a little more analytical, pointing out that different approximate methods should be expected to give different answers.

## Question 7

Showing the existence of the root in part (i) was easy, but showing that there are no other positive roots defeated quite a few. The simplest approach is to show that the gradient of the function is always positive for $x$ positive. Another common method was to locate the single turning point and argue from that to a single positive root.

The sketch in part (ii) was simple enough, but in part (iii) the construction lines for the secant method had to be added and that proved a lot more difficult. It was evident that some candidates were using the false position method. This gives the same values for $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ as the secant method, but they give different values for $x_{4}$. This difference leads, in turn, to different construction lines on the graph.

In part (iv) most candidates obtained the correct solution, though not always using the required method. Showing that the required accuracy has been obtained involves checking for a change of sign. Though this is not difficult, many candidates omitted it.

