

Monday 16 June 2014 – Morning

AS GCE MATHEMATICS (MEI)

4776/01 Numerical Methods

QUESTION PAPER

Candidates answer on the Printed Answer Book.

OCR supplied materials:

- Printed Answer Book 4776/01
- MEI Examination Formulae and Tables (MF2)

Other materials required:

• Scientific or graphical calculator

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes



These instructions are the same on the Printed Answer Book and the Question Paper.

- The Question Paper will be found inside the Printed Answer Book.
- Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the spaces provided on the Printed Answer Book. Please write clearly and in capital letters.
- Write your answer to each question in the space provided in the Printed Answer Book. Additional paper may be used if necessary but you must clearly show your candidate number, centre number and question number(s).
- Use black ink. HB pencil may be used for graphs and diagrams only.
- Read each question carefully. Make sure you know what you have to do before starting your answer.
- Answer all the questions.
- Do not write in the bar codes.
- You are permitted to use a scientific or graphical calculator in this paper.
- Final answers should be given to a degree of accuracy appropriate to the context.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

This information is the same on the Printed Answer Book and the Question Paper.

- The number of marks is given in brackets [] at the end of each question or part question on the Question Paper.
- You are advised that an answer may receive no marks unless you show sufficient detail
 of the working to indicate that a correct method is being used.
- The total number of marks for this paper is **72**.
- The Printed Answer Book consists of **12** pages. The Question Paper consists of **4** pages. Any blank pages are indicated.

INSTRUCTION TO EXAMS OFFICER/INVIGILATOR

 Do not send this Question Paper for marking; it should be retained in the centre or recycled. Please contact OCR Copyright should you wish to re-use this document.



Section A (36 marks)

1 You are given that the equation

$$x^2 + \frac{1}{r} - 5 = 0$$

has one root, α , in the interval (0.2, 0.3) and another root, β , in the interval (2, 2.2).

(i) Use the method of false position with starting values 0.2 and 0.3 to find a first estimate of α . Apply false position again to find a second estimate of α .

A third application of the false position method gives 0.201 640 as an estimate of α . Give the value of α to the accuracy that appears to be justified. [5]

(ii) Suppose that β is to be found using the bisection method, starting with the interval (2, 2.2).

Write down the initial estimate of β and the associated maximum possible error.

Determine how many further steps of the bisection method would be required to find β with a maximum possible error of less than 0.005. (You are not required to carry out this bisection process.)

2 The table shows several values of the mid-point rule (*M*) and trapezium rule (*T*) estimates of an integral for different values of *h*.

h	M	T
0.5	0.536 650	0.594 027
0.25		
0.125	0.555 282	0.558 599

(i) Calculate the missing values in the table. Calculate also three Simpson's rule estimates of the integral.

[7]

(ii) Give the value of the integral to the accuracy that appears justified.

[1]

3 In computer science, powers of 2 occur frequently. It is quite common to approximate 2^{10k} as 10^{3k} .

(i) Find the relative error in this approximation for each of k = 1, k = 2 and k = 3.

(ii) You are reminded that if X = x(1+r), where r is small, then $X^n \approx x^n(1+nr)$.

How does this result relate to your answers in part (i)?

[2]

© OCR 2014 4776/01 Jun14

4 The function f(x) has the values shown in the table.

х	1.9	1.95	2	2.05	2.1
f(x)	0.385 570	0.677 625	1	1.356 076	1.749 638

(i) Calculate two estimates of f'(2) using the forward difference method.

[2]

(ii) Calculate two estimates of f'(2) using the central difference method.

[2]

(iii) Give the value of f'(2) to the accuracy that appears justified, explaining your reasoning.

[2]

5 The table gives some values of the function g(x) correct to 6 decimal places.

X	1	1.1	1.2
g(x)	1.188 395	1.234 281	1.287 500

(i) Estimate g(1.14) using linear interpolation.

[2]

(ii) Use Newton's forward difference interpolation formula to write down a quadratic approximation to g(x). You need not simplify your answer. [4]

(iii) Hence obtain a second estimate of g(1.14).

[2]

Section B (36 marks)

6 You are given that the equation

$$6x^5 - 3x^2 - 2x + 1 = 0$$

has exactly 3 real roots. Let these roots be α, β, γ where $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$.

- (i) Show that there is one root in the interval (-1,0) and two roots in the interval (0,1).
- (ii) Use the iteration

$$x_{r+1} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\left(3x_r^2 + 2x_r - 1\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}$$

to find α correct to 2 decimal places.

[4]

(iii) Use the iteration

$$x_{r+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(6x_r^5 - 3x_r^2 + 1 \right) \quad (*)$$

to verify that $\beta \approx 0.34$.

By considering a suitable derivative, show that the convergence of (*) to β will be slow.

[6]

(iv) Use the Newton-Raphson method to find γ correct to 4 decimal places.

[5]

7 A function f(x) is known to have the following values correct to 3 decimal places.

х	0	0.5	1	1.5
f(x)	1.693	1.405	1.288	1.253

The value of the integral $I = \int_0^{1.5} f(x) dx$ is required.

You should give all numerical answers in this question correct to 5 decimal places.

(i) Find the best estimate possible of *I* using just the trapezium rule.

[2]

- (ii) By drawing a suitable graph, determine whether your answer in part (i) is likely to be an underestimate or an overestimate. [4]
- (iii) Obtain two further estimates of *I*, each of them using both the trapezium rule and Simpson's rule. With reference to your graph, explain which of these estimates seems likely to be more accurate. [6]
- (iv) The integration rule

$$\int_0^{3h} f(x) dx \approx \frac{3h}{8} (f(0) + 3f(h) + 3f(2h) + f(3h))$$

is designed to be used when 4 equally spaced values of a function are known.

Find the value of *I* given by this rule.

You should now assume that the value of *I* you have just calculated is correct to 3 decimal places. Find the approximate errors in your three earlier estimates of *I*, and hence determine whether your conclusions in parts (ii) and (iii) are confirmed.

END OF QUESTION PAPER



Copyright Information

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website (www.ocr.org.uk) after the live examination series.

If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

For queries or further information please contact the Copyright Team, First Floor, 9 Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 1GE.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.

© OCR 2014 4776/01 Jun14

2 (i)				
	h	M	T	
		M		
	0.5	0.536 650	0.594 027	
	0.25			
	0.125	0.555 282	0.558 599	
		<u> </u>		
2 (ii)				
2 (II)				

7 (;)	
7 (i)	
-	
_	
7 (ii)	
-	
-	

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(i)	a b f(a) f(b) x f(x)	M1A1	
		0.2 0.3 0.04 -1.57667 0.202474 -0.02010 0.2 0.202474 0.04 -0.02010 0.201647	M1A1	
		0.201 640 suggests that 0.2016 is secure, accept 0.20164	B1	(Root is 0.201640 to 6 dp)
			[5]	
1	(ii)	Initial estimate 2.1 with mpe 0.1	B1	
		mpe: 0.05, 0.025, 0.0125, 0.00625, 0.003125	M1	soi
		So 5 further steps required	A1	cao
			[3]	
2	(i)	h M T S	B1	Missing T
		0.5 0.536650 0.594027 0.555776 0.25 0.551860 0.5653385 0.556353 0.125 0.555282 0.558599 0.556388	M1A1 M1A1A1A1	Missing M S values
			[7]	
2	(ii)	Agreement suggests 0.5564; extrapolation gives 0.556 39	B1	Accept either
			[1]	
3	(i)	k exact approximate relative error	B1	For exact and approximate values soi
		1 1024 1000 -0.0234375	B1B1B1	Each relative error
		2 1048576 1000000 -0.0463257		Condone consistent missing minus
		3 1073741824 1000000000 -0.0686774		signs on this occasion
			[4]	
3	(ii)	k = 2 and $k = 3$ represent the square and cube of value when $k = 1$	E1	
		The relative errors have doubled and tripled respectively	E1	
			[2]	

4776 Mark Scheme June 2014

Que	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	(i)	fwd diff h est 0.1 7.49638 0.05 7.12152	M1 A1	Correct formula for either value Both values correct
			[2]	
	(ii)	cent diff h est 0.1 6.82034 0.05 6.78451	M1 A1	Correct formula for either value Both values correct
	(***)	6.01 1	[2]	
4	(iii)	6.8 looks secure Using central difference values (as the method is more accurate)	B1 E1 [2]	(Extrapolation gives 6.77) Seen or implied
5	(i)	$g(1.14) = 1.234\ 281 + 0.4\ (1.287\ 500 - 1.234\ 281) = 1.255\ 568\ 6$	M1A1 [2]	Accept 5-7 dp
5	(ii)	1 1.188395 1.1 1.234281 0.045886 1.2 1.287500 0.053219 0.007333 $g(x) = 1.188395 + 0.045886 (x - 1) / 0.1 + 0.007333 (x - 1) (x - 1.1) / ((0.1)^2 2!)$	B1 M1 A1A1 [4]	formula A1 for 2 terms, A1A1 for all 3
5	(iii)	Substitute $x = 1.14$ to get $g(1.14) = 1.254 688 6$	M1A1 [2]	Accept 5-7 dp

Que	stion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6	(i)	Exhibit changes of sign, e.g.	M1	Accept signs instead of numbers
		x -1 0 0.5 1	A1	One interval
		f(x) -6 1 -0.5625 2	A1	Rest
			[3]	
6	(ii)	E.g. starting at -0.5 iterates are:		
		-0.73072 -0.67800 -0.69558 -0.69018 -0.69188	M1A1A1	M1 1st term, A1 next 2, A1 rest
		Root is -0.69 to 2 dp	A1	cao
			[4]	
6	(iii)	Start at 0.34:		
		0.340231	M1A1	
		converging to a value close to 0.34	E1	
		Derivative of RHS is $15x^4 - 3x$	B1	
		At $x = 0.34$ this is -0.82	B1	
		Approaching 1 in magnitude, hence slow convergence	E1	
			[6]	
6	(iv)	Req'd derivative is $30x^4 - 6x - 2$ Hence NR formula	M1A1	NR formula soi
		NR iterations eg		
		0.75 1.005222 0.911575 0.876798 0.872091 0. 8720 09	A1A1A1	A1 2 it'ns, A1 rest, A1 0.8720
		1 0.909091 0.876258 0.872074 0.872009 0. 8720 09		
			[5]	

Que	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7	(i)	T = 2.08300	M1A1	Accept 2.083 or 2.0830
			[2]	
7	(ii)	1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1 0 0.5 1 1.5	G1 G1	Points Curve
		Draw lines on graph. T will be an overestimate;	[4]	
7	(iii)	T + S = 0.77450 + 1.30167 = 2.07617	M1A1	A1 each new correct T or S value
		S + T = 1.43350 + 0.63525 = 2.06875	M1A1	
		Trapezium rule seems like a better fit over $(1, 1.5)$ than $(0, 0.5)$	E1	
		So second estimate likely to be more accurate	A1	
			[6]	
7	(iv)	4-point rule gives 2.06719	M1A1	
		Errors: T only: 0.01581 so it is an overestimate $T + S$: 0.00898	A1E1	Error soi
		S + T: 0.00156 so $S + T$ is more accurate than $T + S$	A1E1 [6]	Accept relative errors to 3dp.